

Search and Rescue

Support System for Submarines

SUBMARINE RESCUE SYSTEMS EMERGED nearly simultaneously with undersea fleet and have been progressing with the fleet, while gaining tragic experience of submarine accidents and accidents.

The principal "wet" way to rescue men from a sunken submarine comes to just getting out and free ascending; invention of SCUBA multiplied submariners' chance to stay alive. However, its primary drawbacks are unavoidable contact between man and hostile water environment and decompression requirements; this directed the engineering efforts toward development of a "dry" rescue system that could be external with respect to submarine.

In the early twentieth century, displacement of most submarines was within 500-600 tons and navigation was limited by the coastal areas. Therefore, accidents took place mainly in shallow waters. Under these circumstances, the simplest way to rescue submariners was to recover the suffered submarine together with her crew using a dedicated rescue (salvage) vessel. This idea was implemented first in Germany in 1907. The Russian Navy obtained such a vessel, *Volkhov* catamaran, in 1915.

As submarines matured, their displacement grew and navigation areas expanded; this required new approaches to salvage injured submarines. In the 1930s, there appeared a new rescue bell (McCann's rescue chamber); its capabilities were demonstrated in 1933 when 33 men of U.S. submarine *Squalus* sunken at the depth of 80 m were rescued. Thereafter, submarine rescue vessels that were, in fact, ocean-going tugboats, were equipped with rescue bells.

In the USSR in the 1950s, there was successively designed a series of rescue bells *SK-57*, *SK-59*, *SK-527* and *SK-64* rated up to 500 m deep.

Autonomous rescue vehicles were the next step. The world's first rescue vehicle (named UPS that in Russian stands for "steerable underwater vehicle") rated to 200 m was designed in the USSR. The retrofitted type 666 submarine with UPS on board sailed first in 1961. As long ago as the next year, for the first time in the world, in the USSR a man was transferred dry from one submarine to another.

Based on the first underwater rescue equipment operation experience, a proper underwater rescue vehicle of type 1837 was designed.

Then in 1963 the U.S. *Thrasher* submarine was lost, Lockheed Corporation designed and constructed very expensive deep submergence rescue vehicles (*Avalon* and *Mystic* DSRVs, 1971-1972), whose capabilities remained unrivaled for long. Similar vehicles were constructed in the UK, Germany, Sweden, Italy and China; the Soviet Union constructed most of them (14 units).

Almaz Central Marine Design Bureau is a Russian monopolist in designing special warships and vessels including naval rescue and salvage vessels. Type 527/527M universal rescue vessels were designed by *Almaz* and constructed in the 1960s; in terms of capabilities they faced no competitors either in the Soviet or any other navy over two next decades. The unique *Karpaty* type 530 salvage vessel constructed in 1967 is still in service in the Russian Navy.

In the mid 1960s, the navy prepared a task specification for an ocean-going rescue vessel that could carry several different



underwater vehicles. The *Elbrus* type 537 lead ship constructed in 1980 could support diving operations up to 200 m deep, her rescue vehicles were rated to 500 m, and *Poisk-2* search and exploring vehicle was rated to 2 000 m. The MTK-200 television system was provided for inspection of underwater objects. Heavy-duty dewatering pumps (rated to 4 000 m³/h) and a towing winch (rated to 60/30 t), as well as fire-fighting means were provided for salvage of surfaced submarines and surface ships. The ship could permanently carry the Ka-27PS helicopter.

Elbrus had the most powerful electric propulsion system (4 gensets 5 000 kW each supplying two propulsion motors 10 000 kW each) to move the ship at 1...18 knots and to provide power to all the loads for dynamic positioning and launching/recovery of underwater vehicles; a number of tunnel thrusters (47500 kW) along with automatics and navigation aids provided dynamic anchorless positioning up to 2 000 m deep; an anchor arrangement was suitable for anchoring up to 500 m deep with four anchors and up to 2 000 m deep with one anchor.

In the mid 1980s *Almaz* designed type 05430 vessel. Upon this design in 1988 construction of *Gindukush* rescue vessel commenced. This vessel could carry an autonomous underwater vehicle and a new-generation DP system.

The Russian *Bester* third-generation autonomous rescue vehicle (type 18270) transferred from the navy in 1994 features



titanium pressure hull. Thanks to that the vehicle can operate at a depth of up to 720 m and take 16-18 "dry" men on board from an injured submarine. Displacement of the vehicle is 39 m³. It can be transported by trucks or by air.

This vehicle will be upgraded: it will receive new sonars (frequencies for underwater sound communication and emergency signal direction finding were accorded to NATO standards), navigation aids, automatic system for seating onto an injured submarine's coaming flange, some improvements for seating onto a greatly sloped coaming flange, and a new survivor life-support system. The vehicle is going to be installed on board type 21300 rescue vessel designed by *Almaz* and being constructed for the Russian Navy.

As the Indian navy receives up-to-date conventional submarines (including the Russian ones), it seems to be quite topical to improve their search-and-rescue support. Operation in the deep seas makes it of pri-

mary importance to provide search-and-rescue support for surfaced injured submarines.

Upon examination of rescue operations, we can see that it was the search and rescue support that ensured success in rescue operations. Thus, more than 70 per cent successful rescues fall to rescue of submariners who managed to leave injured bottomed submarine by themselves in individual rescue suits, 20 per cent to salvage of submarines themselves, and 10 per cent to other rescue operations.

Wreckage of the *Kursk* nuclear submarine in 2000 highlighted a problem of interaction between various navies for search and rescue of injured submarine crews. One of possible ways to improve effectiveness of the Indian Navy's S&R support system is to integrate it into the international injured submarine crew rescue system. Possible cooperation in this area may mainly result in preparation of an agreement on the rescue of injured submarine crews between India and the Russian Federation, as well as other countries. Now, contacts between India and other interested states are being developed; bilateral and multinational exercises, cooperation and sharing experience are being conducted.

The primary possible ways to develop Indian Navy's submarine S&R support system are (i) designing mobile rescue systems like NSRS (UK, France, Norway) or SRDS (U.S.) or (ii) construction/procurement of modern rescue vessels.

The primary advantages of the first approach to the new generation rescue systems are mobility and a relatively low cost. Maintenance of the mother vessels contributes most in the operating cost of the rescue system. The primary disadvantage of these systems is the lack of universality (they cannot be used for rescuing both underwater and surfaced injured submarines). Next, use of such systems must be preconditioned by a well-developed air delivery system able to transport the mobile systems to a place of accident, a large number of mother vessels meeting a lot of quite stringent requirements with respect to allocation of these mobile systems, and appropriate legislative framework that would facilitate commandeering commercial vessels in emergency situations. It should be also noted that the mobile systems are not as quick in deployment as a specialized rescue vessel, and feature lower S&R performance, namely lower permitted sea state and lower submarine search and aftersearch capabilities.

Therefore, from the economical, operative and engineering points of view, the modern rescue vessel seems preferable for injured submarine crew rescue activities.

A possible option for construction/procurement may be type 21300 vessel designed by *Almaz* and equipped with rescue underwater vehicle and deep-sea diving system; Admiralty Shipyards have been constructing this vessel since December 2005. The task specification for this vessel was prepared based on injured submarine crew rescue experience.

The type 21300 vessel can carry an underwater rescue vehicle and a deep-sea diving system rated to 450 m for saturation diving. The vessel has a helipad, unmanned underwater TV and work vehicles, diver decompression system, and a hyperbaric boat. ■